From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

INTERNATIONAL BEARCHING AC		1			
To: BATTISON WILLIAMS DUPUIS P.O. Box 28006 1795 Henderson Highway WINNIPEG, Manitoba		PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
Canada, R2G 1P0			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	4 May 2006 (04-05-2006)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 85149-203 MR		FOR FURTHER AG	CTION ee paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/CA2006/000148	International filing date (07 February 2006 (07-		Priority date (day/month/year) 07 February 2005 (07-02-2005)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC: B02B 3/00 (2006.01), C12P 7/06 (2006.01), C11B 1/00 (2006.01), A23D 9/04 (2006.01), B02B 1/02 (2006.01), B01D 21/00 (2006.01), B02B 3/08 (2006.01), B02B 3/04 (2006.01), B02B 1/04 (2006.01)					
Applicant FWS CONSTRUCTION LTD. ET AL					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items :					
[X] Box No. I Basis	s of the opinion				
[ ] Box No. II Prior	ity		•		
[ ] Box No. III Non-	establishment of opinion wi	ith regard to novelty, in	ventive step and industrial applicability		
[ ] Box No. IV Lack	of unity of invention				
	[X] Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement				
[ ] Box No. VI Certa	in documents cited				
[ ] Box No. VII Certa	in defects in the internation	nal application			
l ' '	in observations on the inter	mational application			
2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary  Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					

Date of completion of this opinion

26 April 2006 (26-04-2006)

Authorized officer

Simon Webster (819) 956-6135

Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office

50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2006/000148

Bo	x l	lo.	I Basis of this opinion
1.	W	ith :	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	[]	{}	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	[	]	a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a
			translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.			regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	tyŗ	pe of material
		[	] a sequence listing
		[	1 table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	for	rmat of material
		(	on paper
		[	in electronic form
	C.	tin	ne of filing/furnishing
		[	ontained in the international application as filed.
		[	[ ] filed together with the international application in electronic form
		1	[ ] furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	[	}	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statement that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	A	ddit	ional comments :

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2006/000148

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement YES Novelty (N) Claims 1-13 Claims None NO YES Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-13 NO Claims None Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-13 YES Claims None NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

D1 - US4189503 (GIGUERE) 19-02-1980

#### Novelty (N)

Claims 1-13 comply with PCT Article 33(2). D1 is considered the closest prior art. D1 does not disclose a method of fractioning corn comprising tempering, cracking, and threshing the corn kernels followed by separation by size and subsequent large and medium density separation.

#### Inventive Step (IS)

Claims 1-13 comply with PCT Article 33(3). D1 is considered the closest prior art. D1 does not disclose a method of fractioning corn comprising tempering, cracking, and threshing the corn kernels followed by separation by size and subsequent large and medium density separation. Claims 1-13 are considered to involve an inventive step since, having regard to the prior art, it is not, at the prescribed relevant date, obvious to a person skilled in the art.

### Industrial applicability (IA)

The subject matter of claims 1-13 is considered to be industrially applicable and thus fulfills the requirements of PCT Article 33(4).

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2006/000148

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
The following obset by the description,	ervations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported are made:				
Claims 1, 6, and 7	do not comply with PCT Article 6. The meaning of the expression "germ/pericarp/endosperm" is not clear.				
document, does no	The description does not comply with PCT Article 5. A statement in an application, such as found on page 3, which incorporates any other locument, does not fully describe the invention. Also, any reference to a provisional application, such as found on page 1, should be emoved. The PCT does not provide for any reference to provisional applications.				
	-				